1 2 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT 3 4 5 6 7 8 NOTE CHANGES MADE BY THE COURT 9 ara awa taka waka kata kata wa kata waka 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12 SPECULATIVE PRODUCT DESIGN, Case No.: ED CV 12-01349 GHK 13 LLC, a California Limited Liability (DTBx) 14 Company d/b/a SPECK PRODUCTS, |PROPOSED| PERMANENT 15 **INJUNCTION AGAINST** Plaintiff, 16 **DEFENDANT SHENG CHI YEN** AKA JAY YEN ٧, 17 18 SHENG CHI YEN, an Individual; JAY YEN, an Individual; and DOES 1-10, 19 Inclusive, 20 Defendants. 21 22 23 The Court, pursuant to the Stipulation for Entry of Permanent Injunction 24 ("Stipulation"), and Settlement Agreement between Plaintiff separate 25 SPECULATIVE PRODUCT DESIGN, LLC ("Plaintiff"), through its counsel of record, on one hand, and Defendant SHENG CHI YEN aka JAY YEN ("Defendant"), in pro per, on the other, hereby ORDERS, ADJUDICATES and as between these stipulating parties only DECREES that/a permanent injunction shall be and hereby is entered against 27

K

- 1. **PERMANENT INJUNCTION.** Defendant and any person or entity acting in concert with, or at his direction, including any and all agents, servants, employees, partners, assignees, distributors, suppliers, resellers and any others over which they may exercise control, are hereby restrained and enjoined, pursuant to 15 *U.S.C.* §1116, from engaging in, directly or indirectly, or authorizing or assisting any third party to engage in, any of the following activities in the United States and throughout the world:
- a. importing, exporting, marketing, selling, offering for sale, distributing or dealing in any product or service that uses, or otherwise making any use of, any of SPECK's Trademarks, and/or any Intellectual Property that is confusingly or substantially similar to, or that constitutes a colorable imitation of, any of SPECK's Trademarks, whether such use is as, on, in or in connection with any trademark, service mark, trade name, logo, design, Internet use, website, domain name, metatags, advertising, promotions, solicitations, commercial exploitation, television, web-based or any other program, or any product or service, or otherwise;
- b. <u>performing or allowing others employed by or representing</u>
 Defendants, or under their control, to perform any aet or thing which is likely to injure Plaintiff, any of SPECK's Trademarks, and/or Plaintiff's business reputation or goodwill, including making disparaging, negative, or critical comments regarding Plaintiff or its products;
- by. engaging in any acts of federal and/or state trademark infringement, false designation of origin, unfair competition, dilution, or other act which would tend damage or injure Plaintiff; and/or
- LA. using any Internet domain name or website that includes any of Plaintiff's Trademarks, including the SPECK® marks and works.

28 | / / /

.

No

28

PROOF OF SERVICE I am a resident of the State of California, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is Johnson & Pham 6355 Topanga Canyon Blyd., Suite 326, Woodland Hills, CA 91367. On October 12, 2 3 2012, I served the within document(s): 4 [PROPOSED] PERMANENT INJUNCTION AGAINST 5 DEFENDANT SHENG CHI YEN AKA JAY YEN 6 FACSIMILE - by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed 7 above to the fax number(s) set forth on the attached Telecommunications Cover Page(s) on this date before 5:00 p.m. 8 MAIL - by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Los X 9 Angeles, California addressed as set forth below. 10 PERSONAL SERVICE - by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below. 11 OVERNIGHT COURIER - by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with shipping prepaid, and depositing in a collection box for next day delivery to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below via UNITED PARCEL SERVICE. 12 13 SHENG CHI YEN 14 13448 Aspen Grove Road 15 Corona, CA 92880 16 17 I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day often date of deposit for mailing in affiducit 18 19 20 more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. 21 I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this 22 court at whose direction the service was made. 23 Executed on October 12, 2012, at Los Angeles, California. 24 25 26 27 28 LA/609087v1